1 2 3 4 5	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN Charles K. Verhoeven (Cal. Bar No. 170151) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502) davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 <sup>nd</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	I, LLP
6   7   8   9   110   111   112	Kevin P.B. Johnson (Cal. Bar No. 177129) kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com Victoria F. Maroulis (Cal. Bar No. 202603) victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor Redwood Shores, California 94065 Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100  Attorneys for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Research America, Inc.	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
16	HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD., et al.,	CASE NO. 16-cv-02787-WHO
17 18 19	Plaintiffs, v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., et al.,	DECLARATION OF MARK GRAY IN SUPPORT OF HUAWEI'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF HUAWEI'S OPPOSITION TO
20	Defendants.	SAMSUNG'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS
21		REGARDING HUAWEI'S CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DECLARATORY
22	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. & SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,	JUDGMENT OF FRAND TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR A CROSS-LICENSE
23	Counterclaim-Plaintiffs,	
24	v.	
25 26 27 28	HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD., HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC., HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES USA, INC. & HISILICON TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD.,  Counterclaim-Defendants.	
40		

1

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

13 14

12

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

26

25

27 28 I, Mark Gray, declare as follows:

- I am a member of the State Bar of California and an attorney at the law firm of Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, counsel for Defendants and Counterclaim-Plaintiffs Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Defendant Samsung Research America, Inc. (collectively, "Samsung"). Except as otherwise indicated, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration, and, if called upon as a witness, I could and would testify to such facts under oath.
- 2. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11 and 79-5, I make this declaration in support of Huawei's Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Portions of Huawei's Opposition to Samsung's Motion For Judgment On The Pleadings Regarding Huawei's Cause Of Action For Declaratory Judgment Of FRAND Terms And Conditions For A Cross-License.
- 3. I have reviewed the portions of Huawei's Opposition that contain or suggest Samsung confidential information. I have specifically reviewed the following portions of Samsung's motion and supporting exhibits, all of which contain information Samsung claims as confidential:

Document	Portions to be Filed Under Seal
Huawei's Opposition	Yellow-highlighted portions (page 4, lines 11-18)
Huawei's Opposition	Green-highlighted portions (page 2, lines 8, 10; page 3, lines 20-22, 24-25; page 4, lines 1-8, 19-28; page 5, lines 1, 3; page 9, lines 7-8, 13-14; page 13, line 9
Huawei's Opposition, Exhibits A-F, H-N, P-Q	Entire Document
Huawei's Opposition, Exhibits G & R	Entire document

4. Exhibits A-E, G, and H-M are copies of negotiations correspondence and positions between the parties. The yellow highlighting in Huawei's opposition are quotes and descriptions of the same documents, and Exhibit F is a deposition excerpt of Samsung witness Hojin Chang,

answering questions that quote from the same negotiation documents. As Samsung explained in the sworn declaration of Hojin Chang, Dkt. 41-1, and the Declaration of Cole Malmberg, Dkt. 234-1, Samsung and Huawei signed a non-disclosure agreement regarding their patent licensing negotiations, and such negotiations information is treated as confidential. Samsung considers negotiation information highly confidential and does not disclose it to the public. Disclosure of negotiations positions to the public would harm Samsung's competitive standing by giving competitors insight into Samsung's past licensing positions and practices. Samsung does not have access to similar information about its competitors, which could result in an information asymmetry in future licensing negotiations, placing Samsung at a disadvantage. The Court previously ordered the parties' negotiations positions and documents reflecting those negotiations sealed at Dkt. 103 at 18-19 (finding "compelling justification" for sealing) and Dkt. 270 at 3.

- 5. Exhibits N and P-Q, and R are excerpts from expert reports in this case that analyze Samsung's licenses and sales information, as well as the negotiations materials in this case. For example, Exhibit N quotes Huawei's representations during the licensing negotiations, Exhibit P refers to negotiation correspondence and representations made by Huawei during the negotiations, Exhibit Q selects regions for analysis based on Samsung sales data, and Exhibit R reveals Samsung sales revenue in particular regions in order to weight the value of patents in particular regions. Samsung considers the information contained in these exhibits highly confidential and would face competitive harm from their disclosure.
- 6. The portions of Huawei's Opposition that are highlighted in green and cited by page and line above reveal the content of the parties' negotiations correspondence and positions, as well as quote sensitive information from Samsung's expert reports. Disclosure of this information would result in similar competitive harm to the other material Samsung requests be sealed.
- 7. Samsung does not claim confidentiality over the following portions of Huawei's opposition that are highlighted in green: page 2, lines 3-5; page 3, lines 17-18; page 7, lines 18-22; page 14, lines 24-26; and page 15, lines 1-5. Once the Court rules on Huawei's motion to seal, Samsung will work with Huawei to prepare and file an updated opposition brief that does not

## Case 3:16-cv-02787-WHO Document 320 Filed 06/26/18 Page 4 of 5

1	redact these lines and otherwise conforms to the Court's order on sealing.
2	
3	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
4	foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. Executed June 26, 2018, in Redwood
5	City, California.
6	
7	/s/ Mark Gray Mark Gray
8	Wark Gray
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
$\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{bmatrix}$	
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

**ATTESTATION** I, Victoria F. Maroulis, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file the above Declaration. In compliance with Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that Mark Gray has concurred in the aforementioned filing. /s/ Victoria F. Maroulis
Victoria F. Maroulis